



1

WP-20930-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH  
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE MANINDER S. BHATTI

ON THE 23<sup>rd</sup> OF JUNE, 2025WRIT PETITION No. 20930 of 2025*RAMLAL SAUR**Versus**THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS*

.....  
Appearance:

*Shri Anil Lala - Advocate for the petitioner.*

*Shri Lalit Joglekar - Government Advocate for the respondent/State.*

.....

ORDER

This is a petition assailing the order dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure-P/3) by which, the petitioner, who is working as Patwari is being sought to be transferred from Tikamgarh to Baldevgarh.

2. It is contended by the counsel that in past also, the petitioner was subjected to transfer as many as three occasions within a span of three years. Thereafter, again vide order dated 07.07.2023, the petitioner was transferred. The petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 07.07.2023 by filing a petition before this Court vide W.P.No.16889/2023. This Court vide order dated 21.07.2023, stayed the operation of his transfer order dated 07.07.2023. Later on, the interim order of stay was made absolute and the petition was disposed of vide order dated 14.12.2024 while extending liberty to the respondents to pass fresh order if the Administrative exigency so arise. It is contended by the counsel that pursuant to the said order, now the petitioner vide impugned order is being transferred from Tikamgarh to



Baldevgarh. Thus, counsel submits that the petitioner is willing to submit a representation fresh while highlighting his grievance regarding frequent transfer and in such eventuality, the respondent be directed to take decision thereon within stipulated period in accordance with law.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for respondent/ State submits that the order has been issued in view of the administrative exigency. However, if the petitioner prefers a representation, the same shall be dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law.

4. In view of the aforesaid, without expressing anything on the merit of the case, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction that if the petitioner prefers a representation before respondent No. 2 within a period of seven days from today, the respondent No. 2 shall take decision thereon within a further period of three weeks by passing a well reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law.

5. If the representation in terms of this order is not submitted within seven days from today this order shall lose its efficacy automatically.

6. For a period of thirty days or till the decision by the respondent No.2 on the representation (whichever is earlier), the petitioner shall be allowed to continue as Patwari at his present place of posting i.e. Tikamgarh.

7. With the aforesaid, the present writ petition stands **disposed of**.

(MANINDER S. BHATTI)  
JUDGE